

Donald S. Simon (Bar No. 189992)  
William C. Acevedo (Bar No. 194106)  
**WENDEL, ROSEN, BLACK & DEAN LLP**  
1111 Broadway, 24th Floor  
Post Office Box 2047  
94604-2047 Oakland, CA 94607-4036  
Telephone: (510) 834-6600  
Fax: (510) 834-1928

Luis M. Alcalde, Esq. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)  
(OH Bar No. 0022848)  
551 S. Third Street  
Columbus, OH 43215  
Telephone: (614) 228-7422  
Fax: (614) 228-7277

Attorneys for Defendant  
PICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

MMCA GROUP LTD., a Virginia  
corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a  
Delaware corporation, PINKERTON  
CONSULTING & INVESTIGATIONS  
EUROPE, a foreign corporation, PICA, an  
Ohio corporation, RODOLFO DIAZ, an  
individual, WARREN ROTHER, an  
individual alien, ROBERT COZZOLINA,  
an individual, KEVIN HUNSAKER, an  
individual,

Defendants.

Case No. CV 06-07067-MMC

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER RE: DEFENDANT  
PICA CORPORATION'S  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR  
LEAVE TO FILE AND TO FILE UNDER  
SEAL THE DECLARATION OF VINCE  
VOLPI IN SUPPORT OF REPLY TO  
OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR  
SUMMARY JUDGMENT, OR IN THE  
ALTERNATIVE, PARTIAL SUMMARY  
JUDGMENT

[Civ. L.R. 7-3(d) Civ. L.R. 7-11; Civ. L.R. 79-  
5]

On February 27, 2009 Defendant PICA Corporation ("PICA") filed an Administrative Motion for Leave to File and to File Under Seal the Declaration of Vince Volpi in support of PICA's Reply to Plaintiff MMCA Group, Ltd.'s Opposition to PICA's Motion for Summary Judgment, or in the alternative, Partial Summary Judgment ("Administrative Motion"). PICA asserted that it was precluded from filing Mr. Volpi's declaration with its initial papers filed in Reply to MMCA's Opposition on the ground that the declaration of Robert Creswell, which was submitted by MMCA, was improperly designated AEO, and MMCA did not timely withdraw such designation so as to allow PICA an opportunity to timely respond to said declaration. In addition, PICA asserted that since Mr. Creswell's declaration was filed under seal, the declaration of Vince Volpi in response thereto is also subject to being sealed. Having considered the Administrative Motion, the Declaration of William C. Acevedo in support thereof, and proof and no opposition thereto having been filed, ~~having been made to the satisfaction of the Court that the Administrative Motion should be granted,~~

**THE COURT FINDS THAT:**


1. Defendant PICA Corporation ~~shall be allowed to file~~ the Declaration of Vince Volpi; and
2. The Declaration of Vince Volpi submitted in Support of PICA's Reply contains confidential information that should be withheld from public viewing.

**THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

3. PICA's Administrative Motion is GRANTED.
4. The Declaration of Vince Volpi, ~~whenever filed with the Court in this case,~~ shall be filed under seal.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: March 10, 2009

By:   
Honorable Maxine M. Chesney  
United States District Court Judge